UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,700	595,700 10/29/2003 Yile Guo		042933/269527 (NC34982US)	5560
73658 Nokia, Inc.	7590 04/01/200	9	EXAM	IINER
6021 Connectio	on Drive, MS 2-5-520	NGUYEN, QUANG N		
Irving, TX 75039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/695,700	GUO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	QUANG N. NGUYEN	2441				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	VIO OFT TO EVELPE AMOUNT!!!	0) 00 THETA (00) BAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	anuarv 2009.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2441

Detailed Action

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

filed on 01/28/2009. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 17 have been amended. Claims 1-24 remain

pending for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

01/28/2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 2-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 1 of claim 2: "wherein a user naming system is ..." is suggested to be

"wherein a user naming system (UNS) is ..." OR on line 2 of claim 2: "... and wherein

the UNS ..." is suggested to be "... and wherein the UNS user naming system ..."

Art Unit: 2441

On line 2 of claim 3: "wherein a user naming system ..." is suggested to be "wherein [[a]] **the** user naming system ..." (since "a user naming system" has been introduced in claim 2).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Phillips et al. (US 7,454,206).
- 6. As to claim 1, **Phillips** discloses an apparatus comprising:

a processor on a wireless terminal configured to receive, from an application on the wireless terminal, a request for an identity of a user, wherein the processor is configured to automatically select one of a plurality of identities selectable for use by the respective application, the respective identity being selected based upon the application and at least one user preference independent of user input to the application, and wherein the processor is configured to provide the selected identity to the application (Phillips, Fig. 3, col. 1, line 59 – col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).

- 7. As to claim 2, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein a user naming system is configured to receive, from a trusted application, a request for an identity of a user, and wherein the UNS is configured to select an identity further based upon a status of the user (**Phillips**, **Fig. 3**, **col. 1**, **line 59 col. 2**, **line 2**; **col. 6**, **lines 35-63**; **col. 7**, **lines 37-48**; **and col. 8**, **lines 4-42**).
- 8. As to claim 3, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 2, wherein the at least one user preference comprises at least one naming preference, wherein the user naming system is configured to obtain a status of the user, and thereafter match the status of the user with a status of a naming preference that also includes a predefined identity, and wherein the user naming system is configured to select the predefined identity of the respective naming preference (For example, if a user is engaged in a PTT session, wherein the user is identified by the user's first user identifier, the real-time media in the PTT session may beneficially remain uninterrupted by instant messages because instant messages may be associated with the user's second user identifier) (**Phillips, col. 8, lines 4-42**).

Art Unit: 2441

- 9. As to claim 4, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 3, wherein the processor is configured to match the status of the user with a status of at least one naming preference that further includes at least one application, wherein the processor is further configured to match the application requesting the identity with an application of one of the at least one naming preference having a matching status, and wherein the processor is configured to select the predefined identity from the naming preference having a matching status and having a matching application (*if a user is engaged in a PTT session, a PTT session is established using the first user identifier and in the Web browsing case, a Web browsing session is established, using the second user identifier) (Phillips, Fig. 3, col. 1, line 59 col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).*
- 10. As to claim 6, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the processor is further configured to identify a current preferred identity based upon at least one user preference and a status of the user, wherein the processor is configured to receive, from a trusted application, a request for an identity of a user, and wherein the processor is configured to select the current preferred identity (*if a user is engaged in a PTT session, a PTT session is established using the first user identifier and in the Web browsing case, a Web browsing session is established, using the second user identifier) (Phillips, Fig. 3, col. 1, line 59 col. 2, line 2; col. 6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).*

Application/Control Number: 10/695,700

Page 6

Art Unit: 2441

11. As to claim 7, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to Claim 6, wherein the at least one user preference comprises at least one naming preference, wherein the processor is configured to obtain a status of the user, and thereafter match the status of the user with a status of a naming preference that also includes a predefined identity, and wherein the processor is configured to identify the predefined identity of the respective naming preference as a current preferred identity (*For example, if a user is engaged in a PTT session, wherein the user is identified by the user's first user identifier, the real-time media in the PTT session may beneficially remain uninterrupted by instant messages because instant messages may be associated with the user's second user identifier) (Phillips, col. 8, lines 4-42).*

12. As to claim 8, **Phillips** discloses the apparatus according to Claim 7, wherein the processor is configured to match the status of the user with a status of at least one naming preference that further includes at least one application, wherein the processor is configured to identify, for each application of each naming preference having a matching status, the predefined identity of the respective naming preference as a current preferred identity of the respective application, and wherein the processor is configured to select the current preferred identity of an application matching the application requesting the identity (if a user is engaged in a PTT session, a PTT session is established using the first user identifier and in the Web browsing case, a Web browsing session is established, using the second user identifier) (**Phillips, Fig. 3, col.**

6, lines 35-63; col. 7, lines 37-48; and col. 8, lines 4-42).

Art Unit: 2441

13. Claims 9-12, 14-16, 17-20 and 22-24 are corresponding method and computer

program product claims of system claims 1-4 and 6-8; therefore, they are rejected under

the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 5, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Phillips, in view of Gabber et al. (US 5,961,593), hereinafter

"Gabber".

16. As to claim 5, **Phillips** discloses an apparatus according to claim 1, but does not

explicitly disclose wherein the processor is capable of one of selecting and generating

a pseudonym to thereby select an identity, and wherein the processor is capable of

providing the pseudonym.

In the same field of endeavor, Gabber teaches a proxy system generates and

provides substitute identifiers (i.e., pseudonyms), which allow users to access the

server sites anonymously via the proxy system (Gabber, page 5, line 58 – page 6, line 17 and col. 11, line 54 – col. 12, line 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of being capable of one of selecting and generating a pseudonym to thereby select an identity, and providing the pseudonym to a non-trusted application, as disclosed by **Gabber**, into the teachings of **Phillips**. One would be motivated to do so to allow a user to establish accounts on web-sites without revealing his true identity, and without reusing the same user names, passwords for multiple sites to avoid a security breach at one site to affect other sites, at the same time to allow the user to browse/access the Internet in a safe and private (anonymous) manner **(Gabber, page 2, lines 3-19 and lines 51-55)**.

17. Claims 13 and 21 are corresponding method claim and computer program product claims of system claim 5; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

- 18. Applicant's arguments as well as request for reconsideration filed on 01/28/2009 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 19. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an attachment to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 2441

20. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3)

months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)

272-3886.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

SPE, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the

organization is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quang N. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2441